TEXAS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

State Capitol, Room GW.5 P.O. Box 2910 Austin, Texas 78768-2910 (512)-463-0576 Fax (512) 463-7827



9713 N. Central Expressway Dallas, Texas 75231 (214) 891-1776 Fax (214) 891-1693

RECEIVED

AUG 2 3 2004

OPINION COMMITTEE

RQ-D261-GA

WILL HARTNETT

August 23, 2004

FILE # ML-043888-04 I.D. # 043888

The Honorable Greg Abbott Attorney General of Texas P.O. Box 12548 Austin, TX 78711-2548

RE: Request for Attorney General's opinion clarifying JC-0133 (1999), as it relates to a tax abatement on new business personal property located at a site that was the subject of a prior business personal property tax abatement.

Dear General Abbott:

Section 312.204(a) of the Texas Tax Code (the Property Redevelopment and Tax Abatement Act) (the "Act") provides:

(a) The governing body of a municipality eligible to enter into tax abatement agreements under Section 312.002 may agree in writing with the owner of taxable real property that is located in a reinvestment zone,...to exempt from taxation a portion of the value of the real property or of tangible personal property located on the real property, or both, for a period not to exceed 10 years ...

The Attorney General issued an opinion on October 28, 1999, stating that a governmental entity may not grant successive ten-year tax abatement agreements applying to the same specific property. Op. Tex. Atty. Gen. JC-0133, P. 3.

Because JC-0133 dealt with real property tax abatement, would the answer be different if the tax abatement were for business personal property? Specifically:

1. Do Section 312.204(a) of the Act and JC-0133 prohibit a city from granting tax abatements for newly added business personal property if there was an earlier ten-year tax abatement at that site on previously existing personal property?



Committees: Judicial Affairs, Chairman Civil Practices DISTRICT 114

The Honorable Greg Abbott August 10, 2004 Page Two

2. Can a city provide incentives to a manufacturing facility investing within an existing site for the expansion, replacement, or installation of new, separately defined personal property if the manufacturer received a prior, ten-year tangible personal property tax abatement at that site?

3. Would such a tax abatement for new business personal property be disallowed at the site because of the prior tax abatement at the site on entirely different business personal property?

I respectfully request an opinion on these issues.

Best regards,

Will Harbout

Will Hartnett