

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711

JOHN L. BILL Attorney general

November 19, 1974

Mr. B. L. DeBerry State Highway Engineer Texas Highway Department Austin, Texas 78763 Opinion No. H- 453

Re: Fees to be charged by district clerk in eminent domain proceedings.

Dear Mr. DeBerry:

You have requested an opinion as to what fee a district clerk is permitted to charge in an eminent domain proceeding and whether the fee charged should cover all costs which normally arise in such a proceeding.

In 1971 the district courts were for the first time given concurrent jurisdiction in eminent domain cases. V. T. C. S., art. 3266a, art.1970-62.1. Previously jurisdiction in such cases was vested exclusively in the county courts. On several occasions this office has been asked for advice concerning the fees which a county clerk is entitled to collect for the services rendered in such a case. <u>See. e.g.</u>, Attorney General Opinions M-483 (1969), C-164 (1963), WW-1008 (1961), and V-726 (1948). In 1967 the Legislature enacted a statute authorizing clerks of county courts to collect a fee of twenty-five dollars for services rendered in an eminent domain proceeding, with or without objections. V. T. C. S. art. 3930 (b) (A) (ii).

But when the Legislature granted concurrent jurisdiction to the district courts in eminent domain cases, it failed to establish a particular fee to be charged by district clerks for services rendered in such cases. Instead in Article 3928, V. T. C. S., the Legislature provided:

The District Clerk shall also receive the following fees:

. . .

Mr. DeBerry page 2 (H-453)

4. For such other duties prescribed, authorized, and/or permitted by the Legislature for which no fee is set by the Legislature, reasonable fees shall be charged.

This provision authorizes district clerks to charge a reasonable fee for the services they render in condemnation proceedings. In our opinion they are permitted to charge either a lump sum to cover all services normally rendered in such proceedings or, alternatively, a specific fee for each service actually rendered. It does not matter so long as all fees charged are for services rendered and are reasonable. In view of the fact that the Legislature has set a fee of twenty five dollars to be charged by county clerks for services rendered in condemnation cases, in our opinion a similar fee would not be unreasonable if charged by district clerks in such cases.

SUMMARY

Whenever no fee has been set by the Legislature, district clerks are permitted to charge a reasonable fee for the services they render.

Very truly yours,

JOHN L. HILL Attorney General of Texas

APPROVED: RK. First Assistant

DAVID M. KENDALL, Chairman Opinion Committee

lg