

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GROVER SELLERS ATTORNEY GENERAL

Afrennik Kung

Honorable John H. Minters Executive Director State Department of Public Welfare Austin 3, Texas

Dear Mr. Winters:

Opinion No. 0-6508 Re: Whether a municipality is authorized to issue a license for any person to conduct a day nursery or children's boarding some, atc., as described in Article 4442a and Articles 095a and 695c.

Your request for our opinion on the hereinabove captioned matter has been received by this department. We quote from your letter as follows:

"Me wich to seek your opinion with reference to the law administered by the Division of Child Welfere which deals with the licensing of day nurseries, children's boarding homes, child placing agencies and other places for the gare and custody of children. For this statute and others applicable to the licensing program, we refer you to Article 4442s, Article 695s; Section 8 and Article 595c, Revised Civil Statutes of Texas, 1925, and amendments.

"The particular question with reference to this licensing law is whether or not a municipality may license day nurseries, children's boarding homes, child placing agencies or other places for the care and outday of children as specified in the above cited articles and if so whether that municipality may charge a fee for such licenses. We have an instance in Texas where the city is charging 35 fee for a license to board children. You can see the herdship this might work on some boarding perents or this 35 may have to come from Community Chest money of the

## Hon. John H. Winters, page 2

「「「「「「「「「「「「「「」」」」」

agency which may be using the boarding home. We were not sure whether this was a velid charge since the superior statute of the state, namely, Article 4442s, directs that this department issue such licenses without fee.

"In your opinion, please indicate whether a fee may be charged by the municipality under its ordinance for any of the classifications covered which includes not only boarding homes for children, institutions, child placing agencies but other places for their care and custody."

Section 1 of Article 4442s, Vernon's Annotated Civil Statutes, provides:

"Yvery person, association or corporation, whether operating for charity or revenue, who shall own, conduct or manage a day nursery, children's boarding home, or child placing agency, or other place for the care or custody of children under fiftcen years of age, or who shall solicit funds in this State for any such place or institution, shall obtain an annual license from the State Board of Health which license shall be issued without fee, and under such reasonable and uniform rules and regulations as said Board shall prescribe. Provided that if said funds are solicited by said associstions or corporations through any agent or agents thereof, only one such liceuse shall be required by each said association or corporation for each county of the State of Texas in .high county seid funds are solicited.

Section 8 of Article 659% specifically transfers the duties and responsibilities placed in the State Board of Health in Article 4442s to the Division of Child Welfere of the State Board of Control, and Section 8, supra, reads as follows:

÷ -

t.

Sec. 8. The licensing, visiting and inspection of all agencies required under Chapter 204, page 444, of the General and Special Laws of the Regular Session of the filst Legislature, 1929, now required by the State Board of Health, shall be and is mareby transferred to and made a part of the duties of the Division of Child Selfers of the State Board of Control."

In 1939, the Legislature passed what is known as the "Public Meltare Act of 1939." (Acts of 1939, p. 544). Section 9a of this Act transferred "oll of the rights, powers and duties heretofore couferred by law on the Division of Child Welfare of the Board of Control, when not otherwise in conflict with any provisions of this Act, are hereby continued in full force and effect, and are hereby transferred to, and conferred upon the State Department of Public Welfare as created by this Act, and shall be held, exercised and performed by the State Department of Fublic Welfare provisions of this Act and the several Acts now in force and any emendment or amendments thereto which might be made."

The "Fublic Selfere Act of 1939" was amended and is now Article £95c. Section 5 of said Article provides:

"There shall be created in the State Department of Public Welfere the following Divisions: a Division of Child Welfare, a Mivision of Audits and Accounts, a Division of Remeerob and Postistics and such other Divisions as the "reputive Director may find necessary for effective edministration. The Executive Director shall have the power to allocate and reallocate functions among the Divisions within the Department and have the power and authority, subject to classifiostion, to select, appoint, and discharge such assistants, olerka, stenographers, auditors, bookkeepers, and clerical evolution in may be necessary in the administration of the duties imposed upon the State Department of Public Selfore within the limits of the appropriations that any be asde for the work of said Lepertment."

Hon. John H. Minters, page 4

A careful reading of the above statutes reveals that the <u>Division of Child Welfare</u> of the <u>State Department</u> of <u>Public Welfare</u> now has the power to license day nurseries, children's boarding homes, child placing agencies and other places for the care and custody of children. The question that we must now decide is whether the Division of Child Welfare of the State Department of Fublic Welfare has the <u>exclusive</u> authority to license said homes for children.

It has been stated in Texas Jurisprudence. Vol. 30, pages 119, 120 and 121:

"The police power is a grant of suthority from the people to their governmental agents for the protection of the health, safety, comfort and welfare of the public. Broadly stated, the police power of a city is the power to govern, exercised either by restriction or compulsion in promoting the general good of the people. The exercise of this power by the municipality is but a mode of exerting the power of the government of the State within the limits of the municipality."

"The exercise of police power is not an inherent right of municipal corporations; such phases of it as may be exercised must come from legislative grant. The Legislature may grant general police powers and the means of enforcing respect and observence. The grant of this power to home-rule cities is found in the provision of the enabling set authorizing them too enforce all ordinances necessary to protect health, life and property, and to prevent and summarily abate and remove all nuisances and to preserve and enforce the good government, order and security of the city and its inhabitants.

"Generally speaking, municipal corporations have the right, under the police power, to safeguard the health, comfort, and general welfare of their citizens by such reasonable regulations as are necessary for that purpose.

"The police power is not an arbitrary one; it has its limitations. Thue it is subject to the limitations imposed by the Constitution upon every

## Hon. John H. Winters, Mage 5

. . .

power of government, and will not be permitted to invade or impair the fundamental liberties of the citizen. Also it is founded in public necessity and only public necessity can justify its exurcise. It is commensurate with, but does not exceed, the duty to provide for the real needs of the people in their health, safety, confort and convenience as consistently as may be with private property rights. The interests of the public generally, as distinguished from those of a particular class, must require the interference. . . "

With reference to the ordinances enacted by cities under their police powers, it is stated in Corpus Juris, Vol. 37, page 187, 2 36, which reads in part as follows:

"In the absence of positive evidence to the contrary, however, such acts or ordinances are presumed to be reasonable, and the courts will not interfere unless their unreasonableness and oppresiveness is electly apparent, the burden of proving their unreasonableness of invalidaty being on the one who severts it, usually the licensee."

Or as suid by McCuillin on Municipal Corporations, (24 Kd.) Vol. 3, page 693:

"Ordinances imposing livense taxes under the power to regulate are prime facie valid, and the unreasonableness of the exactions must be made clearly to sppear, and they must bevolviously and largely beyond what is needed for the purpose intended, before such legislation will be declared void. The fact that the exaction may result in producing a revenue in excess of that required for regulation, does not in itself destroy the regulatory character of a police measure . ..."

It is stated in the case of Ex Parte Brewer, 152 7. W. 1065:

"The question of the right of a city to edopt reasonable regulations in regard to all matters subject to the police power has been so frequently Con. John F. Unters, mage 6

· • . . . . .

before this court and so exheustively discussed we do not deem it necessary to do so here, but merely dite some of the decisions, wherein it is expressly held that a right of the city suthorities to adopt such regulations, so long as they are reasonable are upheld, and wherein it is held that, if such ordinances do not amount to virtual prohibition, they are not in conflict with the laws of the "tate licensing such occupation. ...."

In view of the foregoing authorities, it is the opinion of this department that a municipality may license day nurseries, children's boarding homes, child placing agencies or other places for the care and custody of children, and you are further advised that the city may charge a reasonable for for shid license.

"'s are herewith returning to you the copies of the rules and regulations covering the shild welfare program.

By

Very truly yours,

ATTORS Y GINERAL OF TTAC

J. C. Davis, Jr. J. C. Davis,Jr. Assistant.

FIRST ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

JCH:rt 7no.1 700